

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/871,119	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DAVIE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tanim Hossain	<b>Art Unit</b> 2145	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Tanim Hossain.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) James A. Blanchette.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103 on Office Action mailed December 22, 2006

Claims discussed:

19 and 20


Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Interview to bring application in condition for allowance. Examiner proposed changes to claims 19 and 20, as discussed in the Examiner's Amendment, which was agreed upon by the Applicant's representative.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**JASON CARDONE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)